

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. P 09/181,671 10/29/98 MEISEL PM256868 **EXAMINER** HM22/0526 PILLSBURY MADISON & SUTRO DAVIS, B I P GROUP PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** NINTH FLOOR EAST TOWER 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE N W 1621 WASHINGTON DC 20005-3918 DATE MAILED: 05/26/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

Examiner

09/181,671

Group Art Unit 1621

Meisel et al.

	Brian J. Davis	1621	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•
☐ This action is FINAL .			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excel in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	on as to the mo	erits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fai application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ext 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ilure to respond within the perio	d for response	will cause the
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are	pending in the	application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are w	rithdrawn from	consideration.
Claim(s)	i	s/are allowed.	
	i	s/are rejected.	
	i	s/are objected	to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restrict	ion or election	requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drain The drawing(s) filed on	bjected to by the Examiner. is approved car. er. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(es of the priority documents had been approved as a second carrier of the International Bureau (PCT F	ve been _ · Rule 17.2(a)).	•
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap. Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTC. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	O-948		
SEF OFFICE ACTION	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Serial Number: 09/181,671 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: use of the Latin abbreviation '[sic]' in the text. Its use on page 4 lines 6 and 37, page 5 lines 26 and 27, page 6 line 15, page 7 lines 22 and 24 and page 9 line 28 introduces an unacceptable ambiguity.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 3 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: use of the Latin abbreviation '[sic]'. This introduces an unacceptable ambiguity. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112 and 35 U.S.C. § 101

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

ć

Serial Number: 09/181,671 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 6. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 15 provides for the use of the modifications A, B or C for the production of pharmaceutical preparations, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.
- 7. Claim 15 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Serial Number: 09/181,671

Art Unit: 1621

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use

or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 1-3 and 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated

by DE 4200259 (cited by the applicants on page 1 of the specification, and whose US equivalent,

US 5,384,330 (Dieter et al.) is cited in the IDS). As applicants admit on page 1 lines 24-29 of the

specification, the compound of formula I is well known in the art, as is its use in pharmaceutical

compositions. The three crystalline morphologies - A, B and C - of the compound of formula I

are, therefore, inherent in the prior art. Applicants' admission, on lines 31-35 of page 1 of the

specification, that crystallization produces different product mixes with regard to crystal size and

form makes this clear.

Conclusion

10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was

commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to

the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CAR 1.56 to point out the inventor

and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was

Page 4

Serial Number: 09/181,671 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 11. should be directed to examiner Brian Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-7129. The examiner can normally be reached M-F from 8 to 4:30.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Geist, can be reached M-F from 8:30 to 5 at (703) 308-1701. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.
- Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding 13. should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Brian J. Dayis

date: 5/24/99

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECH CENTER 1600